1	
2	TOWN OF BROOKHAVEN
3	INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AGENCY
4	
5	BOARD MEETING
6	HELD BY ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE
7	x
8	March 24, 2021 10:37 a.m.
9	10:37 d.M.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	* * *
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
4	MEMBERS: FREDERICK C. BRAUN, III
5	MARTIN CALLAHAN FELIX J. GRUCCI, JR.
6	ANN-MARIE SCHEIDT FRANK C. TROTTA
7	
8	ALSO PRESENT:
9	LISA M.G. MULLIGAN, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER LORI J. LaPONTE, CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
10	JAMES M. TULLO, DEPUTY DIRECTOR JOCELYN LINSE, EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT
11	TERRI ALKON, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT WILLIAM F. WEIR, ESQ., NIXON PEABODY
12	HOWARD R. GROSS, ESQ., WEINBERG GROSS & PERGAMENT, LLP
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	* * *
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2	MR. BRAUN: Next we'll move onto the
3	Industrial Development Agency. It is
4	Wednesday, March 24th, it is 10:37.
5	On the roll call, Mr. Grucci?
6	MR. GRUCCI: Here.
7	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Callahan?
8	MR. CALLAHAN: Here.
9	MR. BRAUN: Ms. Scheidt?
10	MS. SCHEIDT: Yes.
11	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Trotta?
12	Mr. Braun is here. We do have a
13	quorum.
14	First order of business is to look at
15	our
16	MS. MULLIGAN: I think we're going to
17	take some things out of order.
18	MR. BRAUN: We can do that.
19	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.
20	MR. BRAUN: Yes.
21	MS. MULLIGAN: I have two resolutions
22	that I would like to take out of order. The
23	first one is for 925 Waverly Avenue Associates
24	LLC/Visiontron Corporation.
25	MR. BRAUN: Somebody care to make that?

2	MS. MULLIGAN: I think are you going
3	to listen?
4	MR. BRAUN: No, I'm not going to either
5	one. I will close the door, leave the room.
6	MS. MULLIGAN: Fred is leaving the
7	meeting right now, he has a conflict on these
8	two.
9	(Mr. Braun left.)
10	MR. GRUCCI: Do we have a quorum if
11	he's not here?
12	MS. MULLIGAN: Yes, we do because Frank
13	generously joined when he really wasn't able
14	to.
15	MR. TULLO: Thank you, Frank.
16	MS. MULLIGAN: Yeah, so we still have a
17	quorum.
18	So just to remind everyone or to let
19	everyone know, the cost-benefit analysis and
20	the PILOT are in your packets as well as the
21	resolution. We had a public hearing on Monday
22	morning, there was no comment.
23	Does anyone have
24	MR. GRUCCI: What project is this,
25	Lisa?

1
Т

2	MR. TROTTA: Waverly Avenue.
3	MS. MULLIGAN: Yes.
4	So just to remind everyone, this is for
5	an existing building at 925 Waverly Avenue,
6	the company makes crowd control products for
7	airports, but for other uses, also; hotels,
8	convention centers, I suspect that we might
9	have something of theirs here at Town Hall.
10	This is a \$13.8 million project. They are
11	purchasing 925 Waverly Avenue, which I said is
12	an existing building. Topaz Lighting
13	Corporation is currently using some of the
14	space and they are going to remain in the
15	building for a year or less.
16	Visiontron is currently located in
17	Hauppauge, but they are vacating their
18	Hauppauge location and relocating to this, to
19	the 925 Waverly Avenue facility. They have 74
20	employees and they're going to add six more.
21	Howard, did you have anything you
22	wanted to add?
23	MR. GROSS: No, I think that's
2 4	adequate, thank you.
25	MS. MULLIGAN: Joce, is there anybody

1	
2	from the project here; I don't think they have
3	anything that really needs to be added at this
4	point, but if anyone had questions for them?
5	MS. LINSE: No. I'm not aware who
6	William Meisner is here for, but everyone else
7	is here for different projects.
8	MS. MULLIGAN: Bill's here
9	MR. GROSS: Bill's for the other
10	project, for the Savion project.
11	MS. LINSE: Okay.
12	MS. MULLIGAN: Does anyone have any
13	questions?
14	MR. CALLAHAN: So we're just accepting
15	the application?
16	MS. MULLIGAN: Nope, nope, this is a
17	final authorizing resolution.
18	MR. CALLAHAN: Oh, the final, I'm
19	sorry, got you.
20	MS. MULLIGAN: That's okay.
21	We did the public hearing on Monday,

there was no comment.

accept.

MR. CALLAHAN: Okay.

MR. GRUCCI: I'll make a motion to

22

23

24

Fred has a conflict, so I'm not going to call

Fred back in for this one yet -- the next item

on the agenda is for the Acropolis Framing,

23

24

2	Inc. project. They're doing business as
3	Parthenon.
4	This is, just to remind everyone, they
5	plan to invest 1.7 million in an existing
6	building at 15 Commercial Boulevard in
7	Medford. They manufacture picture frames
8	primarily for art consultants and designers.
9	They're proposing to purchase this building,
10	it's about 10,000 square feet. They have 15
11	employees, they're going to create 2.5 FTE new
12	employees and their plan is to expand their
13	services. They're vacating space in Bohemia.
14	Howard, did you have anything you
15	wanted to add?
16	MR. GROSS: No, thank you.
17	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.
18	I'm pretty sure that we have
19	representatives from the company, if anyone
20	had any questions for them.
21	MR. TROTTA: The number of jobs was
22	two?
23	MS. MULLIGAN: They have 15 employees
24	that they're going to be retaining and they're
25	going to add 2.5 FTE.

MS. MULLIGAN: Marty?

MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.

24

1 2. MS. MULLIGAN: Frank? MR. TROTTA: Yes. 3 MS. MULLIGAN: Felix? 4 MR. GRUCCI: Yes. 5 6 MS. MULLIGAN: Okay, it passes, thank 7 you. 8 Thank you so much for -- I'm going to let Fred back in. 9 10 (Mr. Braun rejoined the meeting.) MS. MULLIGAN: Okay. So thank you 11 12 everyone. 13 MR. BRAUN: Thank you, Frank. 14 MR. TROTTA: Okay. 15 Lisa, we're good? 16 MS. MULLIGAN: We're good, Frank, thank 17 you very much. 18 MR. TROTTA: Okay. Take care, guys. 19 (Mr. Trotta left the meeting.) 20 MR. BRAUN: All right. Back to the 21 agenda.

Minutes of March 10th meeting have been

sent to everyone. Motion to accept those.

MR. GRUCCI: So moved.

MS. SCHEIDT: Second.

22

23

24

1 MR. BRAUN: Mr. Callahan? 2 MR. CALLAHAN: Yes. MR. BRAUN: Mr. Grucci? 4 MR. GRUCCI: Yes. 5 6 MR. BRAUN: Ms. Scheidt? MS. SCHEIDT: Yes. 7 8 MR. BRAUN: Mr. Braun votes yes, motion 9 carries. 10 And our audit committee minutes of the same date, March 10, 2021. Motion? 11 12 MR. GRUCCI: So moved. MR. BRAUN: Second? 13 MR. CALLAHAN: Second. 14 15 MR. BRAUN: Thank you, Marty. 16 Questions? 17 (No response.) 18 MR. BRAUN: On the vote, Mr. Callahan? MR. CALLAHAN: Yes. 19 20 MR. BRAUN: Mr. Grucci? 21 MR. GRUCCI: Yes. 22 MR. BRAUN: Ms. Scheidt? 23 MS. SCHEIDT: Yes.

MR. BRAUN: Mr. Braun votes yes, motion

24

25

carries.

2	Lori, I'll turn it back to you.
3	MS. LaPONTE: Included in your packages
4	is a profit and loss statement for the month
5	of January. I'm going to do that first.
6	MS. MULLIGAN: I'm sharing my screen.
7	MS. LaPONTE: Okay.
8	So for the month of January, we
9	actually had some activity. We had a
10	termination fee, some other document fees, we
11	did have an application fee and we did have
12	two closings during the month of January, 14
13	Glover and Biocogent. We also have in the
14	month of January is the bulk of the PILOT
15	annual administrative fee, that's at 51,000,
16	that comes in usually all in January.
17	As far as the other items on the
18	schedule of the profit and loss, most of the
19	items line up as where we expect them to be.
20	I did want to point out because to
21	me as an accountant it always looks weird
22	if you scroll down to the count, 2450,
23	conferences and travel, it's actually
24	negative, a credited. We actually got back
25	money that we had put last year for a deposit

2	for an event and they sent us some money back,
3	so that's why
4	MS. MULLIGAN: Sorry.
5	MS. LaPONTE: Okay. I thought I
6	touched my screen. That's okay.
7	So does anybody have any questions on
8	the income statement versus budget for the
9	month of January?
10	MR. GRUCCI: The investment earnings is
11	the monies that we have deposited in various
12	banks?
13	MS. LaPONTE: Yes, yes. Felix, to be
14	clear, there's one bank we keep it all in, I'm
15	sorry, Flushing. They did move our rates up
16	slightly, but they're still at 1.5. You know,
17	the market's still recovering from the COVID
18	down, so obviously when we did this budget, we
19	were looking at possibly higher rates, so
20	we're behind budget on the investment
21	earnings.
22	MR. BRAUN: Felix, we continue to be
23	protected for amounts over the \$250,000 FDIC
2 4	limit.
25	MR. GRUCCI: Okay, good. Excellent.

- 2 Thank you.
- 3 MS. LaPONTE: Thank you.
- 4 MR. GRUCCI: If you need a motion, I'll
- 5 make a motion to accept.
- MR. BRAUN: Thank you.
- 7 Second?
- MS. SCHEIDT: Second.
- 9 MR. BRAUN: Mr. Callahan?
- MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.
- MR. BRAUN: Mr. Grucci?
- MR. GRUCCI: Yes.
- MR. BRAUN: Ms. Scheidt?
- MS. SCHEIDT: Yes.
- MR. BRAUN: Mr. Braun votes yes, motion
- 16 carries.
- 17 Lori, back to you on PARIS.
- MS. LaPONTE: Okay.
- 19 The next item -- I'm sorry, I'm going
- to the financials, the audit and then PARIS
- last; is that okay?
- MR. BRAUN: Yes, absolutely.
- MS. LaPONTE: Okay.
- So the next item included in your
- 25 package is a draft of the independent

2	auditors' report, PKF, who merged with our
3	former auditors, AVZ. PKF did the audit. It
4	was the same people that were from AVZ
5	transitioned over to PKF and did the audit.
6	So I'll go through the highlights, but
7	feel free if you have any specific questions
8	you want to ask me.
9	Starting on pages one and two is the
10	standard independent auditors' report. It's a
11	clean opinion, meaning it's unqualified, no
12	issues were found.
13	The next few pages just talk about the
14	changes in our numbers from year to year and
15	then on pages eight and nine are where our
16	financials are.
17	MS. MULLIGAN: Sorry if I'm making you
18	dizzy.
19	MS. LaPONTE: That's okay.
20	MR. GRUCCI: Lisa, if you use that
21	slide bar on the right-hand side, that little
22	gray box, it will make the transition from
23	page to page a little smoother.
24	MS. MULLIGAN: Yeah, but that involves
25	me being more adept at it.

MS. LaPONTE: So one thing in reviewing
these statements, so this is the balance
sheet, this page. I do want to point out that
there was only one audit adjustment and it was
for \$22 and I still disagree with it, but I
did book it because it was just grossing up
the Ronkonkoma Hub money, there was a little
interest earned on it. So be that as it may,
I agreed with that and the numbers tie in. I
mean they really they did say they had no
problems and they had full cooperation with
working with us.

On the balance sheet, I just want to point out some items that you really should be aware of on the deferred -- there's a couple of pension numbers that really have changed significantly and these pension numbers come from the pension system that's run by New York State Employee Retirement System. These numbers I've seen hit everybody pretty hard. These are not fundable items and I'll explain that further, but I want to point out the big fluctuations.

So, for example, up in the asset

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

section just where Lisa was circling around,

the deferred outflow of pension resources,

that number went up from 85,000 to 296,000.

There's about 16 pages of footnotes explaining

that, but I'll give you my overall in a

second.

There's also a number further down under noncurrent liabilities, you'll see pension liability, 381,000; last year it was 80. Also, that is not a fundable liability, that's a required disclosure by the accounting rules, but there is no funding, it's just showing your potential share of this liability and lastly, down below is the deferred inflow of resources and that number actually went down. So when you -- I always do like a little cheat sheet for myself and I take those three numbers and I say those three numbers, which represent -- there's actually audit report that's done on the New York State Employee Retirement System by KPMG and each participant in that system gets their share of assets and liabilities and I do an analysis and I looked based on all those three columns

where they all get hung up, how does that hit
the income statement? Like even though it's
not fundable, how does that affect my
operations and when you add all three of those
numbers, the net impact, which you'll see on
the next page, is a \$69,000 expense to the
financial statements. So it all rolls it's
up included in admin salaries and benefits,
that number, 707, includes this, what I was
saying, 70,000 of extra pension monies that's
required to be set aside, but not fundable.

I've said a lot and there's pages of footnotes, so please feel free to ask me questions as we go.

Now that we're onto the income statement, I just want to point out some things.

As we had mentioned because we have done the -- I presented already the year-end results to you and again, if you take the year-end results I presented to you versus these numbers, you'll see there's two adjustments, there's the \$22 difference and then there's also this pension adjustment,

2	which we don't know and we don't get that
3	information in until March, so that's the
4	difference between our numbers and the numbers
5	that are presented to you. The number I
6	presented to you had a net loss or net change
7	in position for the year for 58,000 from
8	48,000.
9	Any questions on this?
10	Obviously we had less projects this
11	year. Obviously some of our expenses were
12	down because travel was down, conferences were
13	down.
14	Any questions on the income statement?
15	MS. SCHEIDT: So, Lori, how soon are
16	you guys going to be retiring to take
17	advantage of those enormous pension benefits?
18	MS. LaPONTE: I think you needed to
19	retire yesterday.
20	MR. BRAUN: Lori, I think we need a
21	motion to accept the recommendation from the
22	audit committee, correct?
23	MS. LaPONTE: Yes.
2 4	MS. SCHEIDT: So moved.
25	MR. BRAUN: Is there a second?

2	MR. CALLAHAN: Second.
3	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Callahan, on the vote?
4	MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.
5	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Grucci?
6	MR. GRUCCI: Yes.
7	MR. BRAUN: Ms. Scheidt?
8	MS. SCHEIDT: Yes.
9	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Braun votes yes, that
10	motion carries.
11	One comment. We have been tracking our
12	results for the past well, we go back
13	further than that, but for the last 31 years.
14	Lori gave me a summary of that this morning,
15	we'll be happy to distribute that to the board
16	members, it starts in 1990, goes all the way
17	through to 2020 and the highlights are the
18	revenues, our profit or loss and our net
19	restricted assets at year-end, so we'll get
20	that out to everybody and if anybody has any
21	questions, we could bring that up at the next
22	meeting.
23	Lori, on PARIS?
24	MS. LaPONTE: Okay.

The next item in your package is 108

2	pages of one of the PARIS sections. I have to
3	tell you and I have to say thank you to
4	everyone, I mean it's a team effort, it really
5	is, it takes a village because this is quite
6	the undertaking every year and with the team
7	we have, it balances to the dollar, so it's
8	not all me, I have to say, we have a good
9	team.
10	MS. MULLIGAN: And it could be an
11	easier process if PARIS made any sense.
12	MS. LaPONTE: Well, yeah. I think most
13	of our conversations on PARIS are what, why
1 4	would they want us to show that.
15	MR. BRAUN: Is that on the record?
16	MS. MULLIGAN: That's on the record and
17	I will tell the ABO that.
18	MS. LaPONTE: Oh, no, I didn't say
19	that.
2 0	I do, I often do send them letters, I'm
21	like so you want me to say okay. So I say it.
22	You want me to say yes, no, yes, okay.
23	So the first couple of pages of PARIS,
2 4	pages one through 17, are the governance
2 5	sections and talks about the staff at the

2	agency. The next pages, the next 80 pages are
3	the individual projects and this is, like I
4	said, where we spend huge amount of time.
5	Terri knows, everyone knows the amount of time
6	that we spend going through this back and
7	forth.

The page that now it's -- Lisa, you're going to slide that quick. 105. This is the page where I always am like . . . I hone in on this and Felix, this is something that me and you have spoken about, I wonder if we could drag -- yes.

So this talks about 87 projects. Total exemptions are of those 87 projects,

34 million would have been full taxation. We received \$18 million, so we net exempted

16 million and our employment change for this period is 3,669. Those numbers — and I tracked these because, Felix, again, you have asked for those in the past — I didn't include this in the package, but those numbers are very comparable to what we've done in the past, but I think it shows the amount of what we're bringing in and when we often talk about

2	the total exemptions at full taxation, often
3	if these projects wouldn't be done, there
4	would be no total exemptions at full taxation
5	because the project wouldn't be there. So
6	these are projects in the future that will, as
7	I get closer near the end, that gap will close
8	up and there will be more less exemptions
9	and more PILOT's paid till it gets back on the
10	tax roll for the full tax amount, that
11	34 million.
12	MR. GRUCCI: Lori, this is one year,
13	this is 2020, correct?
14	MS. LaPONTE: This is one year, right.
15	I do have because again, I think you
16	asked me for this Fred Felix I do have a
17	historical starting 2015 that I'll give Lisa
18	so you could see, each year.
19	MR. GRUCCI: So when the claim is made
20	that we've given full exemptions, that's
21	really not accurate.
22	MS. MULLIGAN: Not even close.
23	MR. GRUCCI: But I like that number at
24	the end, the 3,000 number. I would assume
25	that that meant that's how many jobs were

2	created during 2020.
3	MR. BRAUN: Or retained.
4	MS. LaPONTE: It's again, here's the
5	odyssey of PARIS. It looks at what the jobs
6	were prior to the project and what they are at
7	the end of 2020. So some of the jobs could
8	have been created other years during the
9	project, but it still exists, so still exists
10	since inception of the project would be 3,669.
11	MR. GRUCCI: It's still good.
12	MS. SCHEIDT: So it's the cumulative
13	job creation for all of our projects.
14	MS. LaPONTE: All of our projects.
15	MS. SCHEIDT: Got it.
16	MS. LaPONTE: Exactly.
17	MR. GRUCCI: That's an impressive
18	number.
19	MS. LaPONTE: It is. I really I
20	think when you hone in on where it's going
21	towards bringing in, again, that total
22	exemption, that pre-PILOT number wouldn't have
23	possibly been there if these projects weren't
24	there, so
25	MR. GRUCCI: And the jobs wouldn't be

2	there.	

MS. LaPONTE: More importantly.

MR. GRUCCI: That means there would
have been 3,600 people without a job in the
Town of Brookhaven right now if we didn't
approve those projects.

MS. MULLIGAN: And the comptroller does an annual report and one thing that I always notice is the exemption per job, so if you do the math on that, we don't give a huge exemption, there's not a huge outlay to bring an individual job in on average. That always catches my eye, that it's, I think, a fair

MS. LaPONTE: That is really when we get all caught up in PARIS and the idiosyncrasies of the reporting, I'm very honed in on making sure we're focused on the important numbers within PARIS and that to me is this page, which cumulates everything, so I just want to point that out.

MR. GRUCCI: Lori, another question for you.

MS. LaPONTE: Sure.

balance.

2	MR. GRUCCI: The first two columns, the
3	exemptions and the PILOT's, are they about the
4	same ratio every year or do they vary widely
5	from year to year?
6	MS. LaPONTE: You have that on my
7	schedule because I think you've asked me that,
8	so they vary somewhere between 55 and 60
9	percent.
10	MR. GRUCCI: Got it. Okay.
11	MS. LaPONTE: Since I've been tracking
12	it, since 2015. So again, I'll give that out
13	because I know you were interested in that and
1 4	the net exemption you also were interested in,
15	you had asked me in the past, that is between
16	40 to 47 percent as a percentage of the total.
17	MR. GRUCCI: Those are nice numbers to
18	have at your fingertips.
19	MS. LaPONTE: Yeah.
20	MS. MULLIGAN: Speaking of numbers to
21	have at your fingertips, I don't know if you
22	guys can see this, but Amy put together these
23	cards; again, we're going to mail them to
2 4	everybody. Usually we hand them out, but
2.5	we're going to mail them. It's just an

1	
2	overview of the last three years, 2018, '19
3	and 2020 of the number of projects we've done,
4	the new investment in the Town and the jobs
5	created and/or retained, so we'll send those
6	out. This is another nice set of numbers and
7	she laminated them to have at your fingertips.
8	MR. GRUCCI: Very nice.
9	MS. MULLIGAN: It was too easy.
10	MS. LaPONTE: The one thing as
11	mentioned that this whole PILOT process, just
12	looking at it in the year '19-'20 and again,
13	given COVID and all the obstacles we had to
14	overcome, we collected that 18 million
15	represented 105 individual checks that we
16	received because the projects have the option
17	of paying two or one, you know, one half or

two half of their taxes. We disbursed 179 checks, so that PILOT's paid, we disbursed 179 individual checks to each taxing jurisdictions and by the way, if there's one check that's for several projects, to the Town, for example, we'll list it out, so that only counts as one, so within that, it's a processing of it, it works out exactly 600 

2	individual bills. So this process is a large
3	cycle.
4	MS. MULLIGAN: Significant.
5	MR. BRAUN: Fortunately, Felix, those
6	are single signature authority for the PILOT
7	accounts.
8	MS. MULLIGAN: That's an excellent
9	point.
10	MS. LaPONTE: That's true, that's true
11	and we're very on target to make sure
12	everything's disbursed and now I'll use that
13	as a segue.
14	Regarding PILOT's that have come in in
15	2021, we've received all except one
16	outstanding PILOT and we've received all of
17	our annual administration fees except for two
18	projects.
19	Lisa, do you want to talk about the one
20	that hasn't paid us yet, our collection
21	efforts?
22	MS. MULLIGAN: Sure. Sure.
23	So I think you'll recall at our last
24	meeting I mentioned that Regent Tek had not
25	yet paid their PILOT; they haven't paid their

2	administrative fee, either. We reached out to
3	them a number of times, certified mail, phone
4	calls, emails and weren't really getting a
5	satisfactory response, so we sent a
6	termination letter, a default letter, the
7	other day, told them that if they don't make
8	payment in full by April 1st, we will be
9	terminating their project.

I got an email response from her that they're struggling with COVID, so that's sort of where we stand right now.

Just to put it in context, PILOT's were due to us January 31st. She did send us a check; Joce, did we get it before the 31st or was it after the 31st?

MS. LINSE: It was postmarked

February 1st, which we said was okay because

it was a Sunday on the 31st, but then it

bounced.

MS. MULLIGAN: Then the check bounced and when we went back to her, we didn't hear anything from her, we sent -- so after -- each month the interest and penalties accrue or interest or penalties depending on the month,

so we sent her a new invoice with the updated
amount didn't hear back from her. It was
you know, we were concerned, we didn't really
know what was going on and after not hearing
from back from her oh, she did say she
would wire us the money, we never got
anything, we just went ahead and sent the
default letter and that's where we stand right
now.

This isn't the first year that we've had issues getting the PILOT payment, so I defer to the board a little bit. We certainly have wiggle room on that April 1st, I don't have to sign the documents that day, but I would like a little direction on how you want us to handle it.

Howard, did you have anything that you wanted to add?

MR. GROSS: I do not anticipate that they will be cooperative in reconveying the property, so that we will have to record all the documents without their assistance and frankly at our cost, which will probably be, you know, something less than a thousand

2	dollars, but it will cost us some money, but I
3	don't think we have a choice.
4	The monies they have not paid, my
5	understanding is, they will be assessed by the
6	assessor's office against the property so that
7	the Town and the other taxing jurisdictions
8	don't lose their funds that they should have
9	received.
10	MS. MULLIGAN: I'll work with the
11	assessor's office to ensure that we have
12	everything organized so that the taxing
13	jurisdictions are the money is recouped.
14	I guess my question really is do you
15	want me to sign the documents on April 1st or
16	would you like me to give them some more
17	leeway?
18	MR. CALLAHAN: What project was this
19	again, Lis?
20	MS. MULLIGAN: Sorry. This is Regent
21	Tek and to remind everyone, they bought an
22	existing building in Mastic and they do the
23	lines on roadways.
24	MR. CALLAHAN: Oh, yeah, okay. Yup,
25	yup, yup.

2	MR. GRUCCI: Do you think that there's
3	a possibility of getting the money?
4	MR. BRAUN: I'm sorry, Felix, I didn't
5	hear?
6	MR. GRUCCI: I said do you think that
7	there is a possibility in ultimately getting
8	the money. If there is, you give them a
9	little more breathing room. If you really
L 0	think that this is a futile effort, then
1	whatever the next step would be in this
12	process, I think we ought to
13	MS. MULLIGAN: Felix, I don't know, but
4	I do feel I feel like we should at least
15	consider giving them a little bit more time.
L 6	Terminating them means that they will lose
17	their benefit. I mean it's a serious and
L 8	then you'll have the option to recapture what
19	hasn't been not only get the payment that
20	they owed for the PILOT, but you could choose
21	to get what they would have paid had they not
22	been in our name, so it's a significant step,
23	it's something that you should consider.
2 4	MR. GRUCCI: And the probability of
2.5	that happening I think would be pretty low.

2	If they can't make the PILOT payment, how are
3	they going to make future PILOT payments,
4	recoup the PILOT payments that they owe?
5	MS. MULLIGAN: Felix, there would be no
6	future PILOT payments, it would go back on the
7	tax rolls and then they would owe the taxes
8	and if they failed to make their tax payment,
9	just like the rest of us, go to the County and
10	eventually it would get auctioned.
11	MR. GRUCCI: I thought you said that
12	they would be obligated for future PILOT
13	payments. I'm sorry, I misunderstood.
14	MS. MULLIGAN: So they what would
15	happen and sorry if I'm confusing the issue,
16	is we could say to them your PILOT payment
17	was and I apologize, I don't have the
18	number in front of me \$14,000, I have no
19	idea if that's even close, but \$14,000, but
20	your full taxation was 30,000.
21	So we could say in addition to the
22	14,000 that you owe on the PILOT, we're going
23	to have you pay back what you would have paid
24	had you not been in our name and then going
25	forward, once you terminate them, they'll owe

2	that full amount to the taxing jurisdiction
3	because they'll be out of our name, they'll no
4	longer get our benefits.

MR. GROSS: Felix, that's a recapture issue that if somebody terminates early, we seek to recapture benefits that we provided to them in the past and I think that's what Lisa's alluding to.

MS. MULLIGAN: Yes.

MR. BRAUN: Felix, I would suggest we do an additional 30 days. They're a seasonal business, you know, they're not doing a lot of road striping during the winter. If there's any possibility of state infrastructure money coming, maybe it will help them. However, in the past they've given us some problems, but, you know, a 30-day extension probably isn't the worst in the world and if in that period they can't come clean then we have to take -- we have to do what we have to do.

MR. GRUCCI: Can we put a lien on their receivables?

MR. GROSS: We would have to sue them to recover this money and then look to recover

2	from their assets, whether it's receivables or
3	other things. I mean you could, although I
4	suspect an institution lender already has a
5	prior lien on all their assets, so you could
6	go to them now and say if you want us to work
7	with you, you need to grant us a lien on your
8	receivables and your equipment, but I
9	seriously doubt that it's not already liened
10	by a bank who gave them credit or other or
1	gave them the loan for the real estate.
12	MR. GRUCCI: They would have first
13	position obviously.
4	MR. GROSS: Yeah and a second
L 5	position's not going to be much good.
L 6	MR. BRAUN: Felix, I don't think, my
17	history here, I don't remember our having even
L 8	having done that, where we've taken a lien on
L 9	a property or equipment.
20	MR. GROSS: If I may, what you're
21	inclined to say, let's see what happens over
22	the next 30 days. I'll reach out to the
23	attorneys who handled the matter. I don't
2 4	know they represent them on an ongoing basis,
2.5	but and maybe they'll come up with

2	something that will explain to us what they
3	propose to do and see where it goes, but at
4	some point you will have to take action, I
5	think; if you delay, you're setting precedent
6	for other people, et cetera.

7 MR. BRAUN: Right.

MS. SCHEIDT: It would be good to have had some direct communication, either with them or their lawyers, to understand what's going on here.

MS. MULLIGAN: Ann-Marie, I did receive an email after we sent the letter. She sent an email and said that they were dealing with COVID, they were quarantined because of COVID and that takes us back maybe two weeks, three weeks, it doesn't explain the months prior.

MS. SCHEIDT: Right.

MR. GRUCCI: I think Fred's suggestion makes a lot of sense. There's not a whole lot of construction being done in the winter, it affects their primary source of income, they're probably hurting, so I would be inclined to move Fred's suggestion.

MS. SCHEIDT: I second Felix's motion,

2	which makes great sense and was far more
3	eloquent than I could have put it.
4	MR. BRAUN: We need a formal
5	resolution, I think we have a consensus of the
6	four board members that are here.
7	I just want to jump back to Lori,
8	unless you've got anything else
9	MS. MULLIGAN: Howard, did we need
10	anything else on that or are we good, that's
11	fine?
12	MR. GROSS: No, that's fine and I'll
13	reach out to the two attorneys who represent
14	them on this. I don't think they're going to
15	be helpful and I wonder whether or not they're
16	going to be able to recover from their
17	setbacks. The interest and penalties are
18	significant, so on taxes, but we'll try.
19	MR. GRUCCI: Howard, on that, if we
20	issue benefits for any company and they get
21	into a situation where they're totally
22	incapable of making the PILOT payments and the
23	PILOT payments have accrued over the years to
2 4	a significant number already in that matter
25	and they're no longer in a position to make

2	payment, they lost everything, who makes that
3	PILOT payment, who makes those municipalities
4	whole; is it the IDA?
5	MR. GROSS: No.
6	What will normally happen is you would
7	deed the property back to the company, it will
8	then go back on the tax rolls and the assessor
9	will retroactively assess the unpaid monies.
10	MR. GRUCCI: Correct. But if the
11	company that owns the property was put back on
12	the tax roll, they still owned it, they're
13	going to lose it to tax, what do you call it .
14	
15	MR. GROSS: Yes. No, they will lose
16	it, the property, by foreclosure essentially
17	by the taxing authorities and they will try to
18	realize their money that way and they will
19	resell it. So it will be the normal situation
20	you have with everyone else.
21	MR. GRUCCI: Doesn't the for
22	purposes of this discussion, when Suffolk
23	County takes the property for lack of tax and
24	they auction it, don't they only auction it
25	off for what the value of the taxes are, not

2 the	PILOT	payment,	but	
-------	-------	----------	-----	--

MR. GROSS: Suffolk County is only concerned about recovering moneys that were unpaid. Theoretically someone could offer more money, there could be a bidding war if the property was valuable and people could come to buy that property from Suffolk County and they theoretically could bid more than the amount of the taxes owed and the excess would go back to probably the bank first, you know, it would go to others.

MR. GRUCCI: What I'm trying to understand, though, is I would assume that after this whole process is done, whatever the process may entail, there's going to be some monies that are owed to those taxing districts that were entitled to a PILOT payment because there's not going to be significant amount of monies coming from the tax sales.

Who's obligated to make those municipalities whole?

MR. GROSS: To the extent Suffolk
County recovers the money, Suffolk County will
make them whole.

2	MR. GRUCCI: To the extent that they
3	recover, so the entities that were originally
4	relying upon the PILOT payments are the ones
5	who are going to be losing some or all of
6	their revenue?
7	MR. GROSS: Yes. But that is the same
8	in reality as what happens on ordinary tax
9	situations, on your house or a commercial
L 0	piece of property that's not involved with us.
1	If you don't pay your real estate taxes,
12	Suffolk County eventually will sell it at
13	auction and they will take that money and they
4	will pay the expenses and then pay the taxing
15	authorities, et cetera.
16	MS. EADERESTO: No, no.
17	Howard, they actually we get paid
L 8	as soon as the taxes aren't paid in June, the
19	County takes the liens and all the taxing
20	authorities get all the money.
21	MR. GROSS: Okay, I'm sorry.
22	MS. EADERESTO: The loss is but what
23	happens is later on, it will go on that tax
2.4	line on the real estate bill so it gets spread
25	out throughout the County, but the County then

- takes the property and sells it and the County
  recoups that money.
- 4 MR. GROSS: Thank you, Annette.
- MR. BRAUN: Just to sum up, we have a consensus that we'll give them an additional 30 days, Howard is going to speak to their attorneys and we'll take it up 30 days from now if they have not paid.
- We still need to approve Lori's audit and PARIS submissions. I need a motion for that.
- MS. SCHEIDT: So moved.
- MR. CALLAHAN: So moved.
- MS. SCHEIDT: Second.
- MR. BRAUN: Mr. Callahan?
- MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.
- MR. BRAUN: Mr. Grucci?
- MR. GRUCCI: Yes.
- MR. BRAUN: Ms. Scheidt?
- MS. SCHEIDT: Yes.
- MR. BRAUN: Mr. Braun votes yes, that
- 23 motion carries.
- Thank you, Lori.
- MS. MULLIGAN: The next item on the

۷	agenda is the East Setauket Energy Storage,
3	LLC project and Savion, LLC, also. This is a
4	proposed project at 366 Sheep Pasture Road in
5	Port Jefferson Station. It's currently a
6	mulch and nursery operation. The project is
7	proposing a 125 megawatt BESS system and that
8	stands for battery energy storage system.
9	This is we've been doing a lot of solar
10	installations, a lot of fuel cell projects.
11	This is now a battery storage project, which I
12	suspect is the newest of the renewables and I
13	don't know what's coming next, but we'll find
14	out soon. Well, wind, we have some wind
15	projects, so basically what these do is they
16	take the power, it's like a battery, they take
17	the power it is a battery they hold the
18	power until the grid needs it and then they
19	pull from the battery and then they can
20	replenish when there's a decrease in the need
21	and then they sit sort of idle and wait until
22	the grid needs them again.
23	It's a you can think of it sort of
24	like a peaker plant except that they're not
25	making power, they're just holding the power.

2	So this project is a \$154 million project and
3	they are requesting sales tax, mortgage
4	recording tax exemption and a PILOT.
5	There's no jobs associated with this,
6	just like the rest of the renewable projects
7	that we've been doing. Obviously there's
8	construction and there are some contractors
9	that will be involved as far as servicing,
10	making sure that everything is as it should
11	be.
12	Howard is representing the project and
13	I think we have some guests, if anyone has
14	questions for either Howard and/or
15	representatives who are in our waiting room;
16	they can hear us, but they can't see us until
17	we

MR. GROSS: If I may, Lisa, we have my clients, Walter Meisner is I believe on the Zoom call and Rob Panasci is on the call and Bill Miller's on the call.

MS. MULLIGAN: Just to continue, this is the application was in your packets.

Basically what we're doing is the request is to accept the application at this point.

2	There's some work that and also schedule to
3	allow me to schedule the public hearing. But
4	we're working through some PILOT information.
5	These are a little bit different than
6	anything we've seen before, so it's kind of an
7	exciting project. We do have an application
8	for an additional battery project that you've
9	seen already, but that one's on municipal
10	property, so it's a little bit different as
11	far as our relationship with it.
12	But did anyone have questions for
13	either Howard or the project?
14	MR. CALLAHAN: Howard, I have a
15	question.
16	Was that property sold in the last
17	couple of years?
18	MR. GROSS: This property, I don't
19	know.
20	MR. CALLAHAN: Okay.
21	MR. GROSS: I don't know.
22	Walter, I don't know if Walter's on the
23	line or Rob or they can hear us or they can
24	speak, they would know better than I would.
25	MS. MULLIGAN: Joce, do you want to

2	bring them Joce is going to have them join
3	as panelists.
4	MR. CALLAHAN: Sure. Good morning.
5	MR. BRAUN: Good morning, gentlemen.
6	MR. MEISNER: I'm Walter Meisner with
7	Savion.
8	I'm not sure when the property changed
9	hands most recently, but we entered into a
10	lease agreement with the current landowner
11	about a year and a half, two years ago.
12	MR. CALLAHAN: So you're leasing, okay.
13	MR. MEISNER: We have a long-term lease
14	option, 20 something years.
15	MR. BRAUN: Will any part of the
16	existing operation remain there or they'll be
17	out completely?
18	MR. MEISNER: Right now it's seasonal
19	and it's actually not always in compliance
20	with their code for land use, but the property
21	as currently planned is a portion of the front
22	portion of the project might still be able to
23	be operated as it currently is for the
2 4	nursery.
25	MR. BRAUN: And the construction is a

1 2 long-term one, this doesn't happen overnight, 3 correct? MR. MEISNER: That's correct. 4 5 MR. GROSS: Fred, if I may, my 6 understanding is -- and I haven't been 7 involved in this aspect of it -- they've been meeting with the Planning Department and the 9 planning board and they're proceeding along 10 with them and the Planning Department's 11 familiar with the project. 12 This is -- if I may, this is one of a 13 number of projects they have planned of this nature in the Town. This is the first of 14 15 them. 16 MR. BRAUN: Are you at liberty to 17 discuss any of the other ones or is it 18 premature?

MR. GROSS: I think it's premature.

MR. MEISNER: If Howard will let me.

MR. GROSS: It's up to you, Walter,

you're the boss, whatever you say.

20

MR. MEISNER: I can say we're

currently -- we've already met with the

25 Planning Department once or a number of times

2	I should say and we're strategically
3	developing about another five projects.
4	LIPA's current RFP for battery energy
5	storage is expected to come out here in the
6	next month and that will kind of determine our
7	strategic plan going forward, but we would
8	expect up to another five projects that we'd
9	want to introduce to you in the near future.
10	MR. BRAUN: Did they have to do a
11	significant amount of wiring, you know, I'm
12	kind of prehistoric in that term, in order for
13	you to connect into the grid?
14	MR. MEISNER: It does require a new
15	interconnection to the LIPA grid system.
16	There's a number of ways to do that, but yes,
17	we do construct either an interconnect, a line
18	tap or we go into an existing substation.
19	MR. BRAUN: Thank you.
20	Questions from the board members?
21	MS. SCHEIDT: So this is the property
22	that's kind of adjacent to the Greek orthodox
23	church on Sheep Pasture Road?
24	MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.
25	MS. MULLIGAN: Across the street or

2	adjacent?
3	MR. BRAUN: Adjacent.
4	MS. SCHEIDT: Adjacent, yes.
5	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.
6	MR. BRAUN: For those that go by it,
7	just a side comment, there's a huge clearing
8	directly across from the Greek church, which I
9	think is probably the second stage of Setauket
10	Meadows, which we are not involved in.
11	MR. CALLAHAN: Yup.
12	MR. GRUCCI: I got a question.
13	When you've completed your construction
14	project, what is it going to look like?
15	MR. MEISNER: The system generally
16	looks, what we propose, they're like
17	containers that house the batteries and in
18	between each one is essentially an inverter
19	and potentially a transformer, some controls,
20	it's very passive and typically through the
21	planning departments we generally end up
22	screening them at any, you know, visual point,
23	so you typically will see a fence and some
24	trees. Height is very usually low to the
25	ground based on the container sizing.

2	MR. GRUCCI: So this will be a
3	series how many containers would you
4	require and how much room does that take up?
5	MR. MEISNER: This project is proposed
6	at 125 megawatts. Generally speaking, it's
7	two to three containers per megawatt, so I
8	would suspect we're probably on the verge of
9	300 containers or so. I'd have to go back and
10	look at the technology we proposed here, but
11	that's the kind of rule of thumb and I'm
12	sorry, you had a second question.
13	MR. GRUCCI: How much room would that
14	take up?
15	MR. MEISNER: How much room.
16	So we would in this regard anticipate
17	using most of this property just so that we
18	allow for space and screening. I think the
19	property is about 15, 16 acres. We'll
20	probably end up using maybe anywhere up to
21	that point; could be a little bit less, could
22	be maybe ten acres if we can consolidate and
23	keep things close, but the substations as per
24	the earlier question for LIPA also take up
25	some space.

2	MR. GRUCCI: The containers, are they
3	like the cargo shipping containers or are
4	these a special made container?
5	MR. MEISNER: They're especially made
6	for this use, but they generally look that
7	way.
8	There's other there are other
9	providers as well, you know, some of the name
10	brands that you would hear, Tesla, Fluence, a
11	number of other product developers have
12	slightly different cabinets that look a little
13	bit different, but they're generally in that
14	regard.
15	MR. BRAUN: Security issues, cameras,
16	fencing, how about those issues?
17	MR. MEISNER: Yeah. Generally most
18	I shouldn't say generally. All of our
19	facilities will be fenced and secured to meet
20	typical utility standards.
21	MR. BRAUN: Thank you.
22	MS. SCHEIDT: Just why is the agency
23	support needed?
24	MR. GROSS: For the benefits. The
25	sales tax is substantial, the savings in order

2	to do this, to make it work. The mortgage
3	recording taxes, we anticipate a mortgage and
4	of course, the PILOT benefits. All of those
5	are necessary to make this competitive.

MR. WEIR: Ann-Marie, the other reason, too, is with all of the other alternative energy projects that the IDA has been doing that Lisa mentioned, the solar and wind coming on and fuel cell projects, you need . . . not all of that energy is produced when it's always needed, so you need these energy storage facilities so that when the grid needs the power, the power's there. If it's not sunny or not windy, those other projects can't produce electricity, so this is --

MS. SCHEIDT: Sure, Bill. Sure. I understand the technical need for it, thank you for clarifying the relationship to all of the other renewable energy projects we've been doing. Thank you.

MR. WEIR: Thank you.

MR. BRAUN: Howard, to your comment about mortgage recording tax, these containers are technically transportable, so the mortgage

۷	recording tax is really basically the land
3	only?
4	MR. GROSS: How they I'm not privy
5	to all the details of how they finance the
6	entire projects, but I suspect that the fact
7	that we consider it to essentially be land and
8	although Bill and I did have discussions and
9	Lisa did have discussions about how you would
10	look at that, but if the project costs a
11	significant amount of money, that does not
12	mean a bank or a lender would not want a lien
13	on the entire property for the amount of the
14	loan. So if it's a \$50 million loan, the fact
15	that the land may only be valued at \$2 million
16	for argument's sake doesn't mean that they
17	would not take a mortgage on the property to
18	that amount.
19	MR. BRAUN: But they'd still file UCC's
20	against the equipment, correct?
21	MR. GROSS: I'm certain they will
22	because if it's considered fixtures or
23	personal property, they'd want to do that and
24	I'm sure that their lawyers will do that.
25	MR. BRAUN: Any other questions from

agenda is an amended application for the AOE,

2	the American Organic Energy project and just
3	to remind everyone, this is a project that's
4	been a long time in the making. I'm just
5	looking at my white board, I see we did a
6	public hearing in 2016 for this, so it's been
7	in the works and I don't even know if
8	everybody I don't know if everybody was
9	on the board when we accepted this, I suspect
10	that Gary well, it's been a long time
11	coming.
12	So just to remind everyone go ahead

So just to remind everyone -- go ahead, Bill, did you want to give them an overview?

MR. WEIR: The IDA and the LDC have both passed numerous resolutions for this. It was originally going to be financed with tax exempt bonds, they're now getting a mortgage from the Green Bank, which is a New York State entity, so they're no longer doing bonds.

Twice we railed against them

(inaubidle) allocation (inaudible), but those
who don't remember, this is a project that
will take organic waste, everything from food
waste to yard waste, they put it into a
machine that is called a digester, add water,

it produces methane gas that will be transported across the road to the power plant by the landfill that uses landfill gas. This is methane gas coming out of here. What comes out at the back end is pure water that could be used for any purpose and then the solid waste that comes out will be transferred to Scott's Miracle-Gro, they bag it and you can put that compost in your garden or around your shrubs, so this is keeping a lot of material out of the landfill and actually, you know, putting it to good use and so it's very green project.

What -- part of the loan from the Green Bank, so you heard the Vigliotti brothers, they were the hundred percent owners of American Organic Energy. The lender has requested that they put a holding company in between the owners and the company so that if it ever -- to make it bankruptcy remote if the individual owners were ever file for personal bankruptcy, it would not impact the company, that's why they're doing this. So it's really a very simple amendment just reflecting this

1 2 change in the ownership structure to put a holding company in there, this has no impact on our lease documents. 5 MS. MULLIGAN: And one thing, Bill, I 6 don't think that the gas goes to the landfill, I think they discussed that at one point, but 8 I think they're going to be using it for their 9 own . . . 10 MR. WEIR: Okay. 11 MS. MULLIGAN: For their automobiles that can run on it. 12 13 MR. WEIR: Okay. 14 MR. CALLAHAN: We almost pulled the 15 trigger on this, right, we met the owner,

16 didn't he come by and see us at one time? 17 MR. BRAUN: Yes, he did.

MS. MULLIGAN: Yes.

18

19 MR. WEIR: Yes, he did.

20 This has been -- as Lisa said, we've 21 been working on this project for six years now, so finally hopefully ready to close in 22 23 the next few weeks.

24 MS. SCHEIDT: Wow.

25 MR. CALLAHAN: There you go.

2	MR. BRAUN: Need a motion to accept the
3	amended application.
4	MS. SCHEIDT: So moved.
5	MR. GRUCCI: Go ahead.
6	MR. BRAUN: On the vote, Mr. Callahan?
7	MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.
8	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Grucci?
9	MR. GRUCCI: Yes.
10	MR. BRAUN: Ms. Scheidt?
11	MS. SCHEIDT: Yes.
12	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Braun votes yes, the
13	motion is carried.
1 4	MS. MULLIGAN: Thank you everyone.
15	The next item on the agenda is a
16	banking resolution, which has everything that
17	we discussed in the LDC resolution plus a
18	little bit more.
19	Fred, did you want me to go through
20	that or did you want to discuss it?
21	MR. BRAUN: You can and then I'll pick
22	it up.
2 3	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.
2 4	So it's in your packets and like I
25	said, it has the same caveat that Flushing is

not able to have a threshold whether they have
one signature or two signatures, so we added
the same information that will allow us to do
one signature do two signatures, but
have an email when an email system when
we're unable to get the second signature and
we'll just do that internally, they're not
going to double check whether we have one or
two signatures. If a check comes in with two,
it doesn't really matter to them. But in
addition to that, we made some we cleaned
up the resolution a little bit. We added a
few pieces of information that we thought were
pertinent.

Instead of having just savings
accounts, we also included NOW interest
checking accounts for investments because
that's the system I guess that Flushing uses.

We also included at the bottom of page two and the beginning of page three that accounts will be secured through collateral agreements to cover money, 205 percent in excess of the FDIC insured limits or an irrevocable letter of credit, so we just

2	wanted to make sure that our language in this
3	resolution followed what we've actually been
4	doing, making sure that we're in line with
5	our resolution's in line with the reality of
6	what Flushing can do and what's been working.
7	And then a little bit further down,
8	Fred, did you want to add anything?
9	MR. BRAUN: The only thing I would say
10	is the letter of credit would be issued by one
11	of the several federal home loan banks.
12	MS. MULLIGAN: And that language is in
13	this resolution.
14	MS. LaPONTE: Lisa, it's all accepted
15	by the New York State OSC guidelines that we
16	do this.
17	MS. MULLIGAN: Yes. Yes.
18	MR. BRAUN: Can I have a motion to
19	accept that resolution?
20	MR. CALLAHAN: So moved.
21	MR. BRAUN: Second?
22	MS. SCHEIDT: Second.
23	MR. BRAUN: Thank you.
24	Mr. Callahan, on the vote?
25	MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.

1 2. MR. BRAUN: Mr. Grucci? 3 MR. GRUCCI: Yes. MR. BRAUN: Ms. Scheidt? 4 5 MS. SCHEIDT: Yes. 6 MR. BRAUN: Mr. Braun votes yes, motion carries. Thank you. 7 8 MS. MULLIGAN: Thank you. 9 The next item on the agenda is a 10 resolution to hiring Margolin Besunder, LLC. 11 So Margolin Besunder, you'll recognize 12 Mr. Besunder's name, they are the firm working 13 on the Ronk Hub project. 14 Annette, do you have anything you want 15 to add to this, just we wanted to make sure 16 that we had everything sort of lined up and 17 clearly delineated and we wanted to make sure 18 that we had a resolution with the IDA hiring 19 Margolin Besunder, LLP?

20 MR. BRAUN: Annette, you're muted.

21 MS. MULLIGAN: Did you want to add

22 anything, Annette?

23 MS. EADERESTO: No, not at all. I

24 think we killed this one two weeks ago.

25 MS. MULLIGAN: So now we're just

_	
2	officially taking action.
3	MR. BRAUN: With their cost to be
4	reimbursed by Tritec, not at our expense and
5	we will still get a document prepared by Zach
6	Dubey of Besunder's firm basically
7	transferring the responsibility from the Town
8	to the IDA. We don't have that yet. At the
9	last meeting they said it probably would be 30
10	days before we would get it, but we do need a
11	motion to hire them on our behalf.
12	MR. GRUCCI: So moved.
13	MR. BRAUN: Second?
14	MS. SCHEIDT: Second.
15	MR. BRAUN: On the vote, Mr. Callahan?
16	MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.
17	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Grucci?
18	MR. GRUCCI: Yes.
19	MR. BRAUN: Ms. Scheidt?
20	MS. SCHEIDT: Yes.
21	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Braun votes yes, that
22	motion carries.
23	Lisa, want to back up to AOE,

authorizing resolution?

MR. GRUCCI: Fred, just a quick

1 2 question on the Ronkonkoma Hub or if you 3 want --MR. BRAUN: Sure. 4 5 MR. GRUCCI: -- I can defer the 6 question until --7 MR. BRAUN: No, no. MR. GRUCCI: When do we need to take 8 9 the next action that we discussed at our last 10 board meeting? 11 MS. MULLIGAN: Not until they get us 12 that agreement and we pass that resolution. That will be the next item that we need to --13 the next action that we would take. 14 15 MR. BRAUN: And if I remember 16 correctly, the next step beyond that would be 17 a public hearing. 18 MR. GRUCCI: Okay. Thank you. 19 MS. MULLIGAN: I apologize, guys, I 20 printed my version of the agenda a day ago and

we added something on, so Fred's right, we

MR. BRAUN: Yes, Bill, final

need to do a resolution for American Organic

21

22

23

24

25

Energy.

authorizing?

1	
2	MS. MULLIGAN: Yes.
3	MR. BRAUN: I see him shaking his head.
4	MS. MULLIGAN: The final authorizing
5	resolution is, in fact, in your it's an
6	amended authorizing resolution in your
7	packets, so we do need a motion for that
8	resolution.
9	MR. BRAUN: Somebody care to make that?
10	MR. CALLAHAN: So moved.
11	MR. BRAUN: Thank you.
12	Second?
13	MR. GRUCCI: Second.
14	MR. BRAUN: On the vote, Mr. Callahan?
15	MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.
16	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Grucci?
17	MR. GRUCCI: Yes.
18	MR. BRAUN: Ms. Scheidt?
19	MS. SCHEIDT: Yes.
20	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Braun votes yes, that
21	motion carries.
22	MS. MULLIGAN: Thank you.
23	The next item on the agenda is

25 So if you recall, I think maybe the

Framerica Corporation.

2	last meeting, maybe the meeting before that,
3	we did a final authorizing resolution for the
4	Framerica company corporation to purchase
5	to lease space in 19 Nicholas in Yaphank and
6	they told us that they needed sales tax
7	exemption. They've subsequently come back and
8	said that they need more sales tax exemption,
9	so they've asked for an additional \$150,000
10	worth of purchases, which works out to
11	roughly, don't quote me on this, but like
12	\$10,000 in sales tax that would be exempted
13	and so that's the request to the board right
14	now.
15	MR. BRAUN: My only question is why
16	would they need that if the equipment is going
17	to be used in their production?
18	MS. MULLIGAN: They needed to do
19	electric upgrades in the building.
20	MR. BRAUN: Okay.
21	I'll make that motion then.
22	Is there a second?
23	MR. GRUCCI: Yes, second.
24	MR. BRAUN: On the vote, Mr. Callahan?
25	MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.

2	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Grucci?
3	MR. GRUCCI: Yes.
4	MR. BRAUN: Ms. Scheidt?
5	MS. SCHEIDT: Yes.
6	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Braun votes yes, that
7	motion carries. Thank you.
8	MS. MULLIGAN: Thank you.
9	Okay. The next item on the agenda is
L 0	the Ronk Hub project.
L 1	Bill, do you want to give the board an
12	overview?
13	MR. WEIR: So they are ready to move
L 4	forward with Phase 2A, the land that they have
1.5	acquired, they're ready to go. It's very
L 6	similar in size to Phase 1. It's actually a
L 7	little bit more land, slightly more buildings,
18	but very comparable.
L 9	So what Lisa and I are looking at, the
20	original PILOT for Phase 1 and comparing it
21	what we had authorized the PILOT for Phase 1
22	and then we authorized the total PILOT, so we
23	got to take for Phase 2 out of what's already
2 4	been authorized for the total PILOT on
2.5	everything if and when it's ever built and so

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ζ	looking at and comparing it, what we decided
3	or suggested that probably the best way to do
4	the PILOT for Phase 2A is make it the same
5	PILOT payments as they were paying on Phase 1.
6	It's so similar in size and everything that to
7	try to crunch numbers to change it by a
8	thousand dollars here or there was just
9	didn't make any sense and that's where we came
10	out, but they are ready to move forward and
11	they want to close on that in the next few
12	weeks.

MR. GRUCCI: What do they need from us 13 14 now?

> MR. WEIR: So, it's just a final authorizing resolution for Phase 2A and approving the PILOT and schedule for Phase 2A, which will be identical as Phase 1.

So again, just for the board's review, this goes back also forever. The IDA approved the total project, everything to be built on the land and the total PILOT payments, so we did a hundred thousand and it has -- to start with and then the total amount going forward and ramps up after ten years and ramps very

2	quickly and so this is but then we've been
3	approving each phase as they are ready to
4	close, so we approved the overall transaction,
5	then we approved Phase 1 and now we're
6	approving Phase 2A.
7	Phase 2B, that's land that we talked
8	about that we'll see how that comes into
9	title.
10	MR. GRUCCI: What's going to take place
11	on 2A, what are they building?
12	MR. WEIR: Phase 2A is going to be a
13	combination
14	MS. MULLIGAN: That's the piece that's
15	going to have the town square and it's also
16	going to have retail and retail, commercial
17	and housing.
18	MR. WEIR: And residential. So it has
19	residential, retail and commercial and the
20	town square.
21	MR. GRUCCI: I'll make the motion, I'll
22	offer the resolution.
23	MR. BRAUN: Is there a second?
24	MR. CALLAHAN: Second.
25	MS. SCHEIDT: Second.

1 2. MR. BRAUN: On the vote, Mr. Callahan? MR. CALLAHAN: Yes. 3 MR. BRAUN: Mr. Grucci? 4 MR. GRUCCI: Yes. 5 MR. BRAUN: Ms. Scheidt? 6 7 MS. SCHEIDT: Yes. 8 MR. BRAUN: Mr. Braun votes yes, motion 9 carries. 10 MR. CALLAHAN: Sorry, Ann-Marie. 11 MS. SCHEIDT: No, you're faster than I 12 am, Marty. 13 MR. BRAUN: Felix, you had a question? MR. GRUCCI: Yeah. 14 15 On Tritec, is Tritec just a 16 construction firm for this project or are they 17 the owner-operators? 18 MR. BRAUN: They're the owner-operator. 19 MR. WEIR: Yup. 20 MR. GRUCCI: Okay. 21 MR. BRAUN: And they were the party 22 that the Town selected as part of the urban 23 renewal process.

MR. GRUCCI: Not that it changes

anything, I just was unclear if they were just

24

2	the construction firm or if they were the
3	actual owners of the project.
4	MR. WEIR: They're the owners, the
5	operators and they're in it for the long haul.
6	MR. GRUCCI: Good.
7	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay. Thank you
8	everybody.
9	The next item on the agenda is C2NY.
10	They asked for a sales tax and completion date
11	extension. They asked if they could have
12	until May 31st of '21 for their completion and
13	their to extend their sales tax. They told
14	us they didn't need additional exemption, just
15	more time and just to remind everybody, this
16	is the Pennysaver solar, so yeah,
17	Pennysaver solar installation at the Town's
18	amphitheater.
19	MR. BRAUN: Motion?
20	MS. SCHEIDT: So moved.
21	MR. BRAUN: Thank you.
22	Second?
23	MR. CALLAHAN: Second.
24	MR. BRAUN: Thank you.

Mr. Callahan?

1 2. MR. CALLAHAN: Yes. MR. BRAUN: Mr. Grucci? 3 MR. GRUCCI: Yes. 4 5 MR. BRAUN: Ms. Scheidt? MS. SCHEIDT: Yes. 6 7 MR. BRAUN: Mr. Braun votes yes, motion carries. 9 MS. MULLIGAN: Thank you. 10 Again, our board assessments. 11 So as was discussed in the LDC meeting, 12 we're required to send the overview of the 13 assessments to the ABO by the 31st. I wanted to just make sure that 14 15 everybody was aware of how we sort of fell in 16 those assessments, but I suspect as we 17 discussed in the last meeting, we're going to 18 push the discussion of those numbers as well 19 as the discussion of the written comments, 20 which don't go to the ABO, to the April 21 meeting, but I just wanted to make sure that

24 MR. GRUCCI: Has everybody filled out 25 their assessment form?

sending them to the ABO.

you had a chance to see them prior to me

22

2	MS. MULLIGAN: Yes.
3	MR. BRAUN: We have to bang a few
4	people in the head, but yes, we get them back.
5	Thank you, Felix.
6	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay. So we will send
7	those to the ABO and we will discuss them
8	again in April.
9	The next couple of the next item,
10	the ethics forms, I just wanted to remind
11	everybody to get your ethics forms in if you
12	have not already. I need to do mine, guilty.
13	So everyone, we can send around reminders to
14	anyone that we haven't received them yet, but
15	just a reminder, those need to be done soon.
16	MR. CALLAHAN: Should be good.
17	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.
18	The next item on the agenda is the job
19	creation numbers.
20	So like we discussed with the LDC and
21	also like we discussed in PARIS, we are
22	required to send the job creation numbers to
23	the ABO. I'm just going to share my screen so
24	you guys can see this, try to anyway. Hold on
25	one second.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Pause.)

MS. MULLIGAN: So this was included in your packets for the IDA. As you can tell, we have the project name, the year that they were certified, how many jobs they had, how many jobs they told us they would create in their first and second year and then I only included '19 and '20 just for a point of reference because the chart is huge, it would never fit reasonably, but so as you can see as you go through these, our projects are -- even with COVID, our projects are doing a nice job with their job creation numbers. We have some that are not quite there, but for the most part, there's very few, I'm pleased to say, that we're going to have to bring back for discussion, so . . . and some of these that might look problematic, they either -- their job creation numbers haven't started yet, so we have like CD Ramsay is showing as zero, but that's because we didn't expect -- we aren't at year one yet, so we're fine with that. There's a couple that we need to bring

back and have discussions with, but as in

years past, what I suggest is that Fred and I
go through these numbers and sort of pare them
down and bring back ones that are you
know, that we think we need a little more
information on and we can work on getting
information back to them.

Some of these that are below the threshold terminated last year, so, you know, we're still required to gather the information because they were in our system for part of the year last year, but it's — there's really not much that could or should be done if they're below the agreed number.

MR. TULLO: Also, Lisa, if you look at like Excel, that's the hospitality industry, we understand what happened over the past year, so, you know, obviously the board has already had discussions about how they'll take those types of projects into account and circumstances.

MS. MULLIGAN: A hundred percent. And there's some projects that as Fred and I go through here, there's going to be, you know, we'll still ask them for explanation for -- so

1 we have everything documented, but Jim's a 2 hundred percent right, there's some projects that . . . 5 MR. TULLO: Hotels and such. 6 MS. MULLIGAN: Yes. It's funny, I 7 skipped right over that one. So just so you guys have this, we'll 9 bring this back, but if you wanted to review 10 any of these numbers, just for you to be aware 11 of. 12 MR. BRAUN: Lisa, do you have anything else; I don't think we need executive session, 13 do we? 14 15 MS. MULLIGAN: No, we don't. 16 Just a reminder, if you have not done

17 your harassment training, I'm harassing you to do your harassment training. 18

19 MR. CALLAHAN: Banged everything out 20 last week.

21 MS. MULLIGAN: Okay. Thank you, Marty.

MR. BRAUN: Marty, how long did it take 22 23 you, about a half an hour?

24 MR. CALLAHAN: Well, like Lisa said,

25 she hounded and hounded and I did the one

2	thing and then I got another email saying I
3	didn't do something from last year, so I said
4	you know what, yeah, about a half hour.
5	MS. MULLIGAN: But how long did the
6	harassment training
7	MR. CALLAHAN: It was less than a half
8	hour, I believe, yeah, it wasn't too bad.
9	MS. MULLIGAN: Okay.
10	MR. CALLAHAN: Fifty screens.
11	MR. GRUCCI: I think it was about 20
12	minutes depending upon how fast you can read.
13	MR. BRAUN: If there's well, let me
14	ask, anything else that anyone would like to
15	bring up?
16	(No response.)
17	MR. BRAUN: Hearing none, I thank you
18	very much. I'll entertain a motion to
19	adjourn.
20	MR. GRUCCI: So moved.
21	MS. SCHEIDT: So moved, second to
22	Felix.
23	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Callahan?
24	MR. CALLAHAN: Yes.
25	MR. BRAUN: Mr. Grucci?

```
1
 2
                   MR. GRUCCI: Yes.
                   MR. BRAUN: Ms. Scheidt?
 3
 4
                   MS. SCHEIDT: Yes.
 5
                   MR. BRAUN: Mr. Braun votes yes.
 6
                   We are adjourned, thank you.
 7
 8
                   (Time noted: 11:54 a.m.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
                 I, JOANN O'LOUGHLIN, a Notary Public
    for and within the State of New York, do hereby
16
    certify that the above is a correct transcription
17
    of my stenographic notes.
18
19
20
                               JOANN O'LOUGHLIN
21
22
23
24
25
```